



2026:AHC:161582

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 26624 of
2026

Rehan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Najam Uz Zaman Khan
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 64

HON'BLE VIVEK VARMA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, Sri V.P. Tripathi, learned A.G.A. for the State-opposite party, and Sri Faizan Siddiqui, learned counsel for the informant.
2. The present bail application has been filed with the prayer to enlarge the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 438 of 2026, under Sections 108, 191(2), 190, 115(2), 324(4), 351(3) B.N.S., Police Station Quarsi, District Aligarh during the pendency of the trial.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was not named in the first information report and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The incident is alleged to have occurred on 22.05.2026, whereas the first information report was lodged on 26.05.2026. Inordinate delay in lodging the first information report is fatal to the prosecution case. The deceased is alleged to have committed suicide by jumping in front of a moving train. As per the postmortem report, seven injuries were found on the body of the deceased and the doctor has opined that the cause of death was hemorrhagic shock. The suicide note does not contain the name of the applicant. The name of the applicant as well as other co-accused were disclosed by witness namely Irfan after seventeen days of the alleged incident. It is contended that the said statement is unreliable. Further, as per the allegations

in the first information report and the material collected during the course of investigation, the essential ingredients to constitute the offence under Section 108 B.N.S. are not made out. The applicant, in no manner, abetted the commission of suicide by the deceased. Mere allegations of verbal abuse, harassment and extending threats in absence of any positive act of instigation, intentional aid, or proximate conduct compelling the deceased to commit suicide, do not constitute the offence of abetment to suicide. In support of his submission, learned counsel for the applicant has placed reliance on the judgement of the Apex Court in the cases of **Nipun Aneja and others v. State of Uttar Pradesh, Criminal Appeal No. 654 of 2017**, decided on 03.10.2024, and **Abhinav Mohan Delkar v. The State of Maharashtra and others, Criminal Appeal Nos. 2177-2185 of 2024**, decided on 18.08.2025. Investigation has been completed and the charge-sheet has been submitted. The applicant has no criminal antecedents. The applicant is in jail since 17.06.2025 and if he is released on bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.

4. Learned A.G.A. and learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusal of the record, this Court prima facie finds that the applicant was not named in the first information report. The first information report has been lodged belatedly. As per the allegations in the first information report, the alleged suicide note and the material collected during the course of investigation, the essential ingredients to constitute the offence under Section 108 B.N.S. are not made out. There is no proximate or direct act attributable to the applicant that could be construed as instigation, conspiracy or intentional aid to the commission of suicide. Moreover, the applicant has remained confined for more than one year and one month and after submission of the charge-sheet there is no hope of early conclusion of trial, and no reasonable apprehension has been brought to the fore by the State that the applicant, if enlarged on bail, would either tamper with the evidence or delay the trial or intimidate the witness, therefore, without commenting

on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

6. Let the applicant- Rehan, involved in the aforesaid case, be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Court concerned subject to the conditions that he:

- (i) shall appear on the date fixed by the trial court;
- (ii) shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence; and,
- (iii) shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

August 3, 2026
SKT/-

(Vivek Varma,J.)