



2026:AHC:162974

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 27011 of 2026

Bantu Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Garun Pal Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE JAI PRAKASH TIWARI, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned AGA for the State-respondent and perused the record of the case.
2. The instant application has been filed seeking release of the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 973 of 2025, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) BNS, Police Station- Sikandrabad, District- Bulandshahr, during pendency of the trial in the court below.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to some ulterior motive. It is next submitted that applicant is having no concern with the allegations levelled against him and he has not committed any offence as alleged by prosecution. It is next submitted that the applicant was Branch Credit Manager of the Muthoot Microfin Limited. As per the loan record Rs. 9,87,214/- by the borrower. There is no allegation of any irregularity or embezzlement against the applicant. The specific allegation of fraud and embezzlement is against co-accused Rishabh Sharma and Nitin Kumar. There is no independent witness of the alleged incident. He has further submitted that co-accused Rishabh Sharma has been granted bail by this Bench vide order dated 22nd July, 2026, passed in Crl. Misc. Bail Application No. 24891 of 2026. He next submitted that the applicant is languishing in jail since 19.06.2026. It is also submitted that the applicant undertakes that he will not misuse the liberty, if granted. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy

pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

4. The prayer for bail has been vehemently opposed by learned A.G.A.

5. Keeping in view the nature of the offence, evidence, complicity of the accused, severity of the punishment, and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail during the pendency of the trial.

6. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant bail application is **allowed**.

7. Let applicant, **Bantu Kumar** be released on bail in the aforesaid case on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to the following conditions:-

(i). The applicant will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.

(ii). The applicant will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witness.

(iii). The applicant will appear before the trial court on the date fixed, unless personal presence is exempted.

(iv). The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(v). The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

8. It is further directed that the identity, status and residence proof of the sureties be verified by the authorities concerned before they are accepted.

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the trial court will be at liberty to cancel the bail.

10. It is clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the facts brought in by the parties pertaining to the disposal of bail application and the said observations shall have no bearing on the merits of the case during trial.

(Jai Prakash Tiwari,J.)

August 4, 2026

v.k. updh.