



2026:AHC:166458

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 27771 of 2026

Guddu Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Narendra Nath Tripathi
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE JAI PRAKASH TIWARI, J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned AGA for the State and perused the record of the case.

The instant application has been filed seeking release of the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 175 of 2026, under Sections 309(6), 317(2), 351(3) BNS, Police Station- Vishunpura, District- Kushinagar, during pendency of the trial in the court concerned.

Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to some ulterior motive. The present FIR has been lodged against applicant and co-accused Vyas and two unknown persons with regard to incident of loot committed against the informant. It is next submitted that applicant is having no concern with the allegations levelled against him and he has not committed any offence as alleged by prosecution. Co-accused of the applicant namely Vyas Yadav has already enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 3.8.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 26752 of 2026. Apart from the present case, one criminal history of the applicant has duly been explained in para '27' of the bail application and he is languishing in jail since 1.7.2026. It is also submitted that the applicant undertakes that he will not misuse the liberty, if granted on the ground of parity. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

The prayer for bail has been vehemently opposed by learned A.G.A, but could not dispute the fact that the co-accused of the applicant has already enlarged on bail by this Court.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, perusing the record, evidence and also considering the nature of allegations, arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail during the pendency of the trial.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant bail application is **allowed**.

Let **applicant, Guddu Yadav** be released on bail in the aforesaid case on his executing a personal bond and furnishing two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to the following conditions:-

- (i). The applicant will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii). The applicant will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witness.
- (iii). The applicant will appear before the trial court on the date fixed, unless personal presence is exempted.
- (iv). The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.
- (v). The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

It is further directed that the identity, status and residence proof of the sureties be verified by the authorities concerned before they are accepted.

In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the trial court will be at liberty to cancel the bail.

It is clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the facts brought in by the parties pertaining to the disposal of bail application and the said observations shall have no bearing on the merits of the case during trial.

(Jai Prakash Tiwari,J.)

August 10, 2026
Brijesh