



2026:AHC-LKO:42107

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 4510 of 2026

Ram Babu @ Chhotu

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Home Lko. And Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Arjun Singh Kalhans, Ghanshyam Kohli, Ranjeet Singh Baghel, Saurabh Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 12

HON'BLE SHREE PRAKASH SINGH, J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant with the prayer to release him on bail during the trial in Case Crime No. 32 of 2026, under sections 69 BNS, Police Station-Katra Bazar, District -Gonda.

Contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the instant matter due to ulterior motive. Learned counsel for applicant submits that the allegations against the applicant is that the applicant has committed rape upon the alleged victim on the false promise of marriage for seven years. Submission is that the victim is an educated woman aged 29 years. She was earlier married and was fully aware about the consequence of extra marital relationship. There could be consensual relationship between the applicant and the complainant. The ingredients of Section 69 BNS do not attract in the present case. He next submits that there is no previous criminal antecedent of the applicant and he is languishing in jail since 10.02.2026. He submits that the applicant undertakes that in case, he is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of the same and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

Per contra, learned AGA appearing for the State has opposed the

contentions aforesaid and submits that the applicant is not entitled for any relief.

Having heard learned counsels for the parties and after perusal of material placed on record, it transpires that the complainant is an educated woman. She was married earlier and having two children from her first husband. She was in relationship with the applicant for the past seven years. It can not be said that the relationship between the applicant and complainant was not consensual. The applicant is languishing in jail since 10.02.2026 and there is no previous criminal antecedent of the applicant as has been explained in paragraph 18 of the bail application. The applicant has undertaken that if he is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of the same and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

Considering the submissions of learned counsel of both sides, nature of accusation and severity of punishment in case of conviction, nature of supporting evidence, prima facie satisfaction of the Court in support of the charge, reformatory theory of punishment and considering larger mandate of the Article 21 of the Constitution of India and, without expressing any view on the merits of the case, I find it to be a fit case of bail.

Let the applicant-**Ram Babu @ Chhotu** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

(1) The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence by intimidating/ pressurizing the witnesses, or otherwise during the investigation or trial;

(2) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. He shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 229-A of the Indian Penal Code;

(3) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C.; and

(4) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code.

The identity, status and residential proof of sureties will be verified by the court concerned and in case of breach of any of the above conditions, the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail and send the applicant to prison.

It is clarified that the observations made in this order are strictly confined to the disposal of this bail application and must not be construed to have any reflection on the merits of the case.

(Shree Prakash Singh,J.)

June 25, 2026

Arti/-