



2026:AHC-LKO:40834

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 4054 of 2026

Arun

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Akhil Kumar Singh, Gyanendra Pratap
Yadav

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 11

HON'BLE RAJEEV SINGH, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned A.G.A. for the State of U.P. and perused the record.
2. The present bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.65 of 2023 under Sections 8/20/29 of NDPS, Police Station Kotwali Bikapur, District Ayodhya, with the prayer to enlarge him on bail.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent person and he is in jail since 5.3.2026. He also submits that earlier applicant was also implicated in three cases, out of which, in two cases, he is on bail and in one case, final report has been submitted. He further submits that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant and charge sheet has already been filed, therefore, there is no possibility of tampering of any evidence. He further submits that co-accused, Danish and Awadhram Dhruv, having identical role, have already been granted bail by this Court, vide orders 5.9.2024 and 16.1.2025 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application Nos.9019 of 2023 and 293 of 2025 respectively (bail orders provided by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record). In these circumstances, the applicant is also entitled for bail. In case of being enlarged on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer of the applicant for grant of bail, but he conceded the fact that co-accused Danish and Awadhram Dhruv have already been granted bail by this Court and involvement of the applicant was found in the confessional statement.

5. Considering the rival submissions of learned counsel for parties, material available on record, contents of the F.I.R., other relevant documents, bail order of the co-accused, Danish and Awadhram Dhruv, ground of parity as well as totality of fact and circumstances, I am of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

6. Let applicant - **Arun** - be released on bail in aforesaid Case Crime, on his furnishing personal bond and two reliable sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not commit or participate in any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the date fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in Court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present before the Trial Court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the Trial Court may proceed against him under Section 229-A IPC/269 BNS.

(v) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C./84 BNS, may be issued and if applicant fails to appear before

the Court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the Trial Court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A IPC/209 BNS.

(vi) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the Trial Court on dates fixed for (1) opening of the case, (2) framing of charge and (3) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C./351 BNS. If in the opinion of the Trial Court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

(Rajeev Singh,J.)

June 10, 2026

GauraV/-