



2026:AHC:127989

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 19501 of 2026

Dharmendra Kumar @ Bauwa

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Dev Prakash Sharma, Priyanka Devi
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Satya Prakash Rathor

Court No. - 45

HON'BLE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

1. Heard Smt. Priyanka Devi Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Satya Prakash Rathor, learned counsel for the informant and the learned A.G.A. for the State of U.P.

2. The instant bail application has been filed seeking release of the applicant on bail in Case Crime No.154 of 2026, under Section 103(1) BNS, Police Station Kotwali Nagar (Mahoba), District Mahoba.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further contended that the case is based upon circumstantial evidence and chain of circumstance is not complete; the deceased was not last seen alongwith the applicant in the CCTV footage; the applicant is made accused in the present case during course of investigation on the basis of suspicion. It is further contended that the applicant was arrested by the police on 16.04.2026 alongwith co-accused Sumit; one mobile phone and a countrymade pistol of 315 bore were allegedly recovered from the possession of the applicant; there is no injury of countrymade pistol on the body of the deceased. Therefore, recovery of countrymade pistol could not help the prosecution in order to connect the applicant with the alleged offence; mobile phone allegedly recovered from the possession of the applicant does not belong to the deceased. It is the prosecution case that mobile phone of the deceased was recovered from the possession of co-accused Sumit Kumar. It is further contended that there is no eye witness account of alleged incident and

deceased sustained abrasion and contusion on her body; no gun shot injury was found on the body of the deceased. The applicant has no motive to commit murder of the deceased; there is no criminal history of the applicant. Learned counsel for applicant next contended that applicant has been languishing in jail since 16.04.2026 and in case, he is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and will cooperate in trial.

4. Per contra, learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the applicant and submitted that there is ample evidence against the applicant regarding his involvement in the offence, therefore, he is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, the nature of accusations, the evidence brought on record, the period of incarceration undergone by the applicant, the absence of any criminal antecedents and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court finds that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

6. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**.

7. Let the applicant- **Dharmendra Kumar @ Bauwa**, who is involved in the aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions. Further, before issuing the release order, the sureties be verified.

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the date fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in Court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the Trial Court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the Trial Court may proceed against him under Section 229-A IPC/ 269 B.N.S.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C./ 84 B.N.S.S.,

may be issued and if applicant fails to appear before the Court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the Trial Court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A IPC/ 209 B.N.S.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the Trial Court on dates fixed for (1) opening of the case, (2) framing of charge and (3) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C./ 351 of B.N.S.S. If in the opinion of the Trial Court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

9. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

(Vivek Kumar Singh,J.)

June 18, 2026

Nitendra