



2026:AHC-LKO:42090

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 4629 of 2026

Meena

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thur. Prin. Secy. Home Lko

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Devendra Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 12

HON'BLE SHREE PRAKASH SINGH, J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant with the prayer to release him on bail during the trial in Case Crime No. 105 of 2025, under sections- 333, 115(2), 191(1), 190, 103(1), 118(1), 3(5) BNS Police Station-Bihar, District -Unnao.

Contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant is a woman and she is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the instant matter due to ulterior motive. He next added that the applicant was not involved in committing any offence. The specific allegation of committing offence is against co-accused Deepak and Raj Kumar. He also submitted that the applicant is said to have present at the spot and no specific role has been assigned to her. The role of present applicant is different than other co-accused. He next submits that there is no previous criminal antecedent of the applicant and she is languishing in jail since 05.04.2024. He submits that the applicant undertakes that in case, she is granted bail, she will not misuse the liberty of the same and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

Per contra, learned AGA appearing for the State has opposed the

contentions aforesaid and submits that the applicant is named in the FIR and there are serious allegation against the applicant and co-accused. Hence, the applicant is not entitled for any relief.

Having heard learned counsels for the parties and after perusal of material placed on record, it transpires that applicant is a woman, aged 28 years and she has not attributed any specific role in the incident. This Court also notices that the specific role has been assigned to co-accused Deepak and Raj Kumar. Role of the applicant is different from the co-accused. The applicant is languishing in jail since 05.04.2026 and there is no previous criminal antecedent of the applicant as has been explained in paragraph 9 of the bail application. The applicant has undertaken that if she is granted bail, she will not misuse the liberty of the same and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

Considering the submissions of learned counsel of both sides, nature of accusation and severity of punishment in case of conviction, nature of supporting evidence, *prima facie* satisfaction of the Court in support of the charge, reformatory theory of punishment and considering larger mandate of the Article 21 of the Constitution of India and, without expressing any view on the merits of the case, I find it to be a fit case of bail.

Let the applicant-**Meena** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

- (1) The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence by intimidating/ pressurizing the witnesses, or otherwise during the investigation or trial;
- (2) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. He shall remain present before the trial court on each

date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 229-A of the Indian Penal Code;

(3) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C.; and

(4) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code.

The identity, status and residential proof of sureties will be verified by the court concerned and in case of breach of any of the above conditions, the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail and send the applicant to prison.

It is clarified that the observations made in this order are strictly confined to the disposal of this bail application and must not be construed to have any reflection on the merits of the case.

(Shree Prakash Singh,J.)

June 25, 2026

Arti/-