



2026:AHC-LKO:40573

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 2304 of 2026

Ataullah Shah

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Home Lko.
And

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Firoz Ahmad Khan, Rajesh Kumar Shukla

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Ganesh Kumar Gupta, Neeraj Kumar Rai

Court No. - 11

HON'BLE RAJEEV SINGH, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A for the State of U.P., learned counsel for the complainant and perused the record.
2. The present bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.619 of 2025, under Sections 61(2)(A), 109(1), 191(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, Police Station - Kotwali Ayodhya, District - Ayodhya, with the prayer to enlarge him on bail.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the case and he is in jail since 5.10.2025. He further submits that as per the prosecution case, injury was caused by Mohit Pandey and Dharamveer Pandey and only being in association with the accused persons, applicant has been dragged in the case. He also submits that applicant was also implicated in two other cases, in one case, he has already been acquitted and in other case, final report has been submitted. He further submits that applicant is ready to cooperate in the trial and will not involve in any illegal activities in the future. In these circumstances, the applicant is entitled for bail. In case of being enlarged on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

4. Learned A.G.A. as well as learned counsel for the complainant have oppose the prayer for grant of bail and submit that applicant facilitated the accused person, Mohit Pandey by providing pistol, but they do not dispute this fact that role of firing is assigned to Mohit Pandey.

5. Considering the rival submissions of learned counsel for applicant, learned A.G.A., material available on record, contents of F.I.R., other relevant documents, nature of offence as well as facts and circumstances of the case, it is evident that role of firing was assigned to Mohit Pandey and Dharamveer Pandey, therefore, I am of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail.

6. Let applicant - **Ataullah Shah** - be released on bail in aforesaid Case Crime, on his furnishing personal bond and two reliable sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not commit or participate in any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the date fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in Court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present before the Trial Court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the Trial Court may proceed against him under Section 229-A IPC/269 BNS.

(v) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in

order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C./84 BNS, may be issued and if applicant fails to appear before the Court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the Trial Court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A IPC/209 BNS.

(vi) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the Trial Court on dates fixed for (1) opening of the case, (2) framing of charge and (3) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C./351 BNS. If in the opinion of the Trial Court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

(Rajeev Singh,J.)

June 8, 2026

GauraV/-