



2026:AHC-LKO:40037

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
LUCKNOW**

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 4769 of 2026

Mul Chand And 2 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Lko.
And Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Sachendra Prakash Shukla, Dileep
Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 11

HON'BLE PRAVEEN KUMAR GIRI, J.

1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Mahesh Singh Yadav, Advocate, on behalf of opposite party no.2 is taken on record.
2. Heard learned counsel for the applicants, learned A.G.A. for the State, learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 and perused the record.
3. By means of the instant application, the applicants have prayed to quash the entire proceeding of Criminal Case No. 1818 of 2023 "*State Versus Mul Chandra and others*" pending in the court learned Judicial Magistrate, Nighasan, Lakhimpur Kheri arising out of Case Crime No.267 of 2009, under Sections- 325, 352 & 506 I.P.C. Police Station- Singahi, District- Kheri and cognizance order dated 17.04.2010 as well as charge-sheet dated 01.10.2009 in the light of compromise dated 05.02.2026.
4. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that due to certain misunderstanding, the instant FIR was lodged against the applicants and thereafter the parties sat together and has amicably settled their dispute which has been reduced in writing on 05.02.2026. He added that thereafter the applicants filed the instant application for direction to the trial Court to verify the compromise deed and in compliance of the order dated 22.04.2026, the verification was done and report has been submitted to this Court. He submits that further criminal proceeding in the instant matter would amount to harassment and thus the impugned criminal

proceeding may be quashed.

5. Learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 has also supported the contention of the learned counsel for the applicants and submits that parties have amicably settled their dispute and the opposite party no. 2 has no grievance, hence, criminal proceedings against the applicants may be dropped.

6. Learned counsel for the State has no objection to the contention aforesaid.

7. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, I find that compromise has been entered into between the parties on 05.02.2026 and said compromise has been verified on 22.05.2026 and, now, as per the statement of learned counsel for the parties, they do not want to press the aforementioned criminal case.

8. In view of the above, as the applicants and opposite parties have entered into compromise and no grievance remains to be agitated and as such, further criminal proceedings in the aforementioned criminal case are liable to be set aside in view of the Judgements of the Apex Court rendered in **B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana and another (2003)4 SCC 675; Nikhil Merchant Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation[2008]9 SCC 677; Manoj Sharma Vs. State and others (2008) 16 SCC 1; Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab (2012) 10 SCC 303; and Narindra Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab (2014) 6 SCC 466** .

9. Accordingly, criminal proceedings with regard to Criminal Case No. 1818 of 2023 "*State Versus Mul Chandra and others*" pending in the court learned Judicial Magistrate, Nighasan, Lakhimpur Kheri arising out of Case Crime No.267 of 2009, under Sections- 325, 352 & 506 I.P.C. Police Station- Singahi, District- Kheri and cognizance order dated 17.04.2010 as well as charge-sheet dated 01.10.2009 are hereby quashed.

10. The compromise shall be part of this order.

11. The application is allowed accordingly.

12. Consigned to record.

(Praveen Kumar Giri,J.)

June 3, 2026
V. Sinha