



2026:AHC:16858

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 11482 of 2024

Yashdeep And 2 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Jai Shankar Mishra, Shardendu Mishra
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE VIKAS BUDHWAR, J.

1. Heard Sri Shardendu Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri B.K. Srivastava, learned Standing Counsel, who appears for State-respondents.
2. The case of the writ petitioners is that there happened to be Jail Warder and they are entitled for food allowance, there is a recommendation by the Additional Director General of Police to the Director General of Police dated 03.12.2016.
3. Prayer in the present petition is for a direction to the respondents to consider the claim.
4. Learned Standing Counsel submits that the claim of the writ petitioner needs adjudication at the first instance by the third respondent, Director General of Police/ Inspector General, Jail Administration and Reform Services U.P. Government Lucknow.
5. To such a submission, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection and he gracefully accepts the same.
6. Considering the submissions of the rival parties as well as stand taken by them, the writ petition is being **disposed off** granting liberty to the writ petitioner to prefer a comprehensive representation along with the self-attested copy of the writ petition before the third respondent, who shall on the receipt of the same within a period of two weeks, proceed to decide the claim of the petitioner strictly in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of presentation of the certified copy of the order. In

case the third respondent is of the opinion that the matter is to be decided by some other authorities then the papers shall be transmitted to the same.

7. Needless to point out that the writ petition has been decided without seeking any response from the respondents. Thus, passing of this order may not be construed to an expression that this Court has gone into the merits of the case.

8. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is **disposed off**.

January 22, 2026

A. Prajapati

(Vikas Budhwar,J.)