



2026:AHC:16390

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 19736 of 2025

Kalpana Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Pankaj Goswami
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE VIKAS BUDHWAR, J.

1. Heard Sri Pankaj Goswami, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Rahul Malviya, learned Standing Counsel, who appears for State-respondents as well as Shri P.K. Srivastava, counsel for the respondent no. 3.
2. The case of the writ petitioner is that her husband was a constable in RPF who expired on 27.10.2023, according to the writ petitioner, certain benefits i.e. monetary benefits and death benefits has not been given.
3. Prayer in the present petition is for a direction to the respondents to consider the claim.
4. Sri Rahul Malviya, learned Standing Counsel, who appears for State-respondents as well as Shri P.K. Srivastava, counsel for the respondent no. 3 submit that the claim of the writ petitioner needs adjudication at the first instance by the second respondent, Commissioner of Police, Agra Commissioner (City), Agra.
5. To such a submission, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection and he gracefully accepts the same.
6. Considering the submissions of the rival parties as well as stand taken by them, the writ petition is being **disposed off** granting liberty to the writ petitioner to prefer a comprehensive representation along with the self-attested copy of the writ petition before the second respondent, who shall on the receipt of the same within a period of two weeks, proceed to decide the claim of the petitioner strictly in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of presentation of the certified copy of the order. In

case the second respondent is of the opinion that the matter is to be decided by some other authorities then the papers shall be transmitted to the same.

7. Needless to point out that the writ petition has been decided without seeking any response from the respondents. Thus, passing of this order may not be construed to an expression that this Court has gone into the merits of the case.

8. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is **disposed off**.

January 22, 2026

A. Prajapati

(Vikas Budhwar,J.)