



2026:AHC:11807

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 1433 of 2026**

Ashish Chaudhary

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Ajay Kumar Sharma, Atul Sharma  
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : Anil Kumar, Damodar Singh, Devendra  
Vikram Singh, G.A.

---

**Court No. - 66**

**HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.**

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Atul Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Devendra Vikram Singh, learned counsel for the informant as well as Sri Arun Kumar Mishra, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in **Case Crime No. 317 of 2025, under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 351(3), 352, 109, 103(1) of BNS, Police Station - Sadar Bazar, District - Mathura**, during the pendency of trial.
4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that similarly placed co-accused person, Manju Devi, has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 27.11.2025 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 41955 of 2025. It is further submitted that since the case of the applicant is at par to the co-accused, who has already been enlarged on bail, he is also entitled to be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity.
5. Learned counsel has also stated that the applicant is languishing in jail since 05.12.2025, having no criminal history. In case, the applicant is released on bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and shall cooperate with trial.
6. The prayer for bail has been vehemently opposed by learned A.G.A as well as learned counsel for the informant. However, the aforesaid factual aspects of parity to the co-accused and of no criminal history of the

applicant, have not been disputed by them.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, evidence on record, pending trial and in the light of the judgement passed by this Court in ***Nanha S/o Nabhan Kha vs. State of U.P., 1993 Crl.L.J. 938*** and the judgement passed by the Supreme Court in ***Paras Ram Vishnoi vs. The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, MANU/SCOR/22410/2021*** coupled with the judgement of Supreme Court passed in ***Satender Kumar Antil vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and another*** reported in ***(2022) 10 SCC 51***, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Court is of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail in this case. The bail application is **allowed** on the ground of parity.

8. Let the applicant- **Ashish Chaudhary**, who is involved in aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions. Further, before issuing the release order, the sureties be verified.

- i) The applicant will not tamper with the evidence during trial.
- ii) The applicant will not pressurise/intimidate with the prosecution witnesses.
- iii) The applicant will appear before the trial court on the date fixed.

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

10. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

**(Krishan Pahal,J.)**

**January 19, 2026**  
Siddhant