



2026:AHC:21441

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 221 of 2026

Jagdish Prasad And 2 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Deputy Director Of Consolidation And 9 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Shivam Pandey, Suryaprakash Sharma, Vipin Kumar Tripathi
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C.

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel representing State-respondents and perused the record on board.

2. This writ petition has been filed, inter alia, for the following relief:

"a. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding and directing the respondent no. 1 decide the restoration application no. 903/2023 in Revision No. 968/699/513/400 of 2016 (Jagdish Prasad & others Vs. Jagdamba Prasad & others) pending before him, within the stipulated period as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court."

3. It has been submitted that the matter is pending consideration for a substantial period of time; however, no efforts are being made to get the same decided expeditiously.

4. Learned Standing Counsel has no objection, in case, a direction is issued for expeditious disposal of the aforementioned matter.

5. In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served to keep this matter pending, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition, without making any observation on the merits of the case as mentioned in the present writ petition, with a direction to the Deputy Director of Consolidation (Respondent No. 1), before whom the aforementioned matter is pending disposal, to decide the same in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably, within a period of

five months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, if there is no other legal impediment.

6. With the aforesaid direction, present application is **disposed of**.

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

January 30, 2026

vinay