



2026:AHC:17140

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
WRIT - C No. - 45449 of 2025

Saraswati Devi And Another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Satish Kumar Pandey, Sharique Imran Siddiqui
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C., Rameshwar Prasad Shukla

Court No. - 6

HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents and perused the record.
2. In view of the order proposed to be passed herein, no useful purpose would be served by putting the private respondents to notice and keeping this petition pending before this Court. However, in case the private parties feel aggrieved by the order his/her right to seek modification/variation of the order is being kept reserved.
3. The basic relief sought by the petitioners in the present petition is to direct the respondent No.2/Sub Divisional Magistrate, Ballia to decide Case No.7654 of 2025 (Computerized Case No.T202515090307654) (Saraswati Devi Vs. Sangram Singh Yadav) expeditiously.
4. The matter is pending before the respondent No.2 since long and considerable time has already been lapsed but till date no final decision has been taken in the matter.
5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is disposed of directing the respondent No.2/Sub Divisional Magistrate, Ballia to decide the Restoration Application filed in the aforesaid case ***strictly in accordance with law most expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months*** from the date of production of certified copy before the respondent No.2 but without granting unnecessary adjournment unless there is no legal impediment.

(Prakash Padia,J.)

January 22, 2026

saqlain