



2026:AHC:14378

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 22132 of 2025

Jitu

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	: Mohd Adil, Mohd Faiz, Narendra Kumar Singh, Zahid Khan
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 68

HON'BLE ASHUTOSH SRIVASTAVA, J.

Learned counsel for the applicant has filed supplementary affidavit today in Court, which is taken on record.

Heard Shri Mohd. Adil, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Ramendra Pal Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record of the case.

The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of applicant, **Jitu**, with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. 142 of 2025, under Section 21/22 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, Police Station- Hathras Gate, District- Hathras.

Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that 538 gm. of Diazepam is alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the applicant, which is marginally above the commercial quantity. Criminal history of four cases have properly been explained in paras 4 to 7 of the supplementary affidavit. In fact no such recovery was effected from the applicant. It is further submitted that since such recovery is not supported by independent witness, possibility of his false implication in the crime cannot be ruled out. It is next contended that in the present case the prosecution has failed to follow strictly the provisions of Section 50 of the N.D.P.S. Act. It is also argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present crime with a view to cause unnecessary harassment and victimize him. The applicant, who is in jail since 28.03.2025, having no criminal history to his credit, deserves to be released on bail. In case the

applicant is released on bail he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

Per contra learned A.G.A. has opposed the bail prayer of the applicant by contending that the innocence of the applicant cannot be adjudged at pre trial stage who is involved in supplying contraband, therefore, the applicant does not deserve any indulgence. In case the applicant is released on bail he will again indulge in similar activity.

Considering the facts of the case, larger mandate of Article 21 of the constitution of India, the nature of accusations, the nature of evidence in support thereof, the severity of punishment which conviction will entail, the character of the accused-applicant, circumstances which are peculiar to the accused, reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the trial, reasonable apprehension of the witnesses being tampered with, the larger interest of the public/ State and other circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits, I am of the view that it is a fit case for grant of bail.

Accordingly, the bail application is ***allowed***.

Let the applicant **Jitu**, involved in abovesaid case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions that :-

1. The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence by intimidating/ pressurizing the witnesses, during the investigation or trial.
2. The applicant shall cooperate in the trial sincerely without seeking any adjournment.
3. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

January 20, 2026
Vinod.

(Ashutosh Srivastava, J.)