



2026:AHC:13067

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 184 of 2026

Sunita

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Pankaj Kumar Gupta, Prashant Kumar, Rajendra Kumar Jaiswal
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C.

Court No. - 2

HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.
2. In view of the order proposed to be passed herein, no useful purpose would be served by putting the respondent/respondents to notice and keeping this petition pending before this Court. However, in case the respondent/respondents feels aggrieved by the order his/her right to seek modification/variation of the order is being kept reserved.
3. The basic relief sought by the petitioner in the present petition is to direct the respondent no.2-Sub Divisional Magistrate, Tehsil-Karchhana, District Prayagraj to decide the appeal being Case No.5486 of 2025 (Computer Case No.T202514360205486) expeditiously.
4. It is argued by counsel for the petitioner that though a considerable time has already been lapsed but till date no final decision has been taken in the matter.
5. In the facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is disposed of directing the respondent no.2 to decide the aforesaid case strictly in accordance with law and without granting unnecessary adjournment unless there is no legal impediment.

(Prakash Padia,J.)

January 19, 2026

Pramod Tripathi