



2026:AHC:9628

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 31835 of 2025

Ravi Kumar Yadav Alias Tillu

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sunil Vishal Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 68

HON'BLE ASHUTOSH SRIVASTAVA, J.

Heard Shri Sunil Vishal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record of the case.

The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of applicant, Ravi Kumar Yadav Alias Tillu with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No.109, under Section 8/20 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, Police Station- Pachokara, District Firozabad.

Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that 51 kilogram of contraband (ganja) is alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the applicant. In fact no such recovery was effected from the applicant. It is further submitted that since such recovery is not supported by independent witness, possibility of his false implication in the crime cannot be ruled out. It is next contended that in the present case the prosecution has failed to follow strictly the provisions of Section 50 of the N.D.P.S. Act. There is no report of chemical analyst. It is also argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present crime with a view to cause unnecessary harassment and victimize him. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that co-accused Ram Babu Yadav and Iswar Singh have already been

enlarged on bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 18.09.2025 and 06.10.2025 in Criminal Misc. Bail Application Nos. 31080 of 2024 and 33645 of 2025. The applicant, who is in jail since 10.06.2025 having no criminal history to his credit, deserves to be released on bail. In case the applicant is released on bail he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

Per contra learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant, but submits that similarly circumstanced aforesaid co-accused has already been granted bail by this Court.

Considering the facts of the case, larger mandate of Article 21 of the constitution of India, the nature of accusations, the nature of evidence in support thereof, the severity of punishment which conviction will entail, the character of the accused-applicant, circumstances which are peculiar to the accused, reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the trial, reasonable apprehension of the witnesses being tampered with, the larger interest of the public/ State and other circumstances, but without expressing any opinion on the merits, I am of the view that it is a fit case for grant of bail.

Accordingly, the bail application is ***allowed***.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits, let the applicant Ravi Kumar Yadav @ Tillu involved in abovesaid case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions that :-

1. The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence by intimidating/ pressurizing the witnesses, during the investigation or trial.
2. The applicant shall cooperate in the trial sincerely without seeking any adjournment.
3. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

January 13, 2026

Priyanka

(Ashutosh Srivastava,J.)