



2026:AHC:22228-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 29212 of 2025

Ramswaroop

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Rajiv Sisodia, Shashank Dwivedi
Counsel for Respondent(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 45

HON'BLE RAJIV GUPTA, J.
HON'BLE ACHAL SACHDEV, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer to quash the F.I.R. dated 08.01.2025 giving rise to Case Crime No. 09 of 2025, under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of B.N.S., Police Station Hasanpur, District Amroha.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from the allegations made in the first information report, prima facie no offence, complained of, is disclosed against the petitioner and as such, the impugned first information report is liable to be quashed.
4. Per contra, learned AGA for the State has submitted that petitioner is the Principal of the College and the allegation of issuing fake Transfer Certificate has been made against him. He has further submitted that from the allegations made in the first information report, prima facie offence is clearly disclosed against the petitioner, therefore, the impugned first information report can not be quashed in view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the cases of ***State of Telangana Vs. Habib Abdullah Jellani reported in (2017) 2 SCC 779, Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. vs. State of Maharashtra and Others reported in (2021) SCC Online SC 315*** and in a recent decision of the Hon'ble Apex

Court in ***Criminal Appeal No. 843 of 2024, Directorate Enforcement Vs. Niraj Tyagi and others.***

5. Having considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is the Principal of the College and the allegation of issuing fake Transfer Certificate has been made against him. Thus, keeping in view the relevant law cited by learned AGA for the State and the fact that impugned first information report discloses cognizable offence against the petitioner and noting the submissions of learned AGA for the State, we are of the opinion that the impugned first information report can not be quashed, therefore, the prayer for quashing the impugned first information report is refused.

6. However in case, the petitioner files an application for anticipatory/regular bail before the appropriate court, his bail application shall be considered and disposed of as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

7. With the aforesaid observations, the instant writ petition is finally **disposed of.**

February 2, 2026

Nadim

(Achal Sachdev,J.) (Rajiv Gupta,J.)