



2026:AHC:22584

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 2169 of 2026

Jitendra Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 18 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Anil Kumar Mishra, Manoj Kumar
Tewari
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C.

Court No. - 6

HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.
2. The only relief sought by the petitioner in the present petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is to direct the respondent no. 3 namely Additional Up-Zila Adhikari, Ballia to decide the Recall Application dated 03.06.2025 filed under Section 209(h) of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 bearing No. 862 of 2025 [*between Surendra Yadav & Another Vs. Rajendra and Ors*], expeditiously within specific period.
3. In view of the order proposed to be passed herein, no useful purpose would be served by putting the respondent/respondents to notice and keeping this petition pending before this Court. However, in case respondent/respondents feels aggrieved by the order his/her right to seek modification/variation of the order is being kept reserved.
4. From perusal of the record it transpires that nothing has been stated in the entire petition that there is any extraordinary situation nor any arguments have been made in this regard by the learned counsel for the petitioner to direct the court below to decide the aforesaid case in a time bound manner except the fact that suit is filed in the year 2022.
5. In view of the above, no relief could be granted to the petitioner. However, court below is expected to decide the aforesaid case strictly in accordance with law within a period of three months without

granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties unless there is no legal impediment.

6. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is **disposed of**.

February 2, 2026
Vinod.

(Prakash Padia, J.)