



2026:AHC:29390-DB

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 2219 of 2026

Raunak Sahu

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Ashish Srivastava, Atul Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 45

**HON'BLE RAJIV GUPTA, J.
HON'BLE ACHAL SACHDEV, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The instant writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the prayer to quash the F.I.R. dated 19.12.2025 giving rise to Case Crime No. 275 of 2025, under Sections 3(5), 140(3), 109(1), 117(2) of B.N.S., Police Station Hanumant Vihar, District Dakshini Commissionerate, Kanpur Nagar
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from the allegations made in the first information report, prima facie no offence whatsoever is disclosed against the petitioner and as such, the impugned first information report is liable to be quashed.
4. Per contra, learned AGA for the State has vehemently opposed the aforesaid prayer and has submitted that the petitioner alongwith three others has assaulted the victim by iron bucket and has also thrown him down from the second floor, consequent to which, victim Ayush has received fracture injuries and has been medically examined.
5. Learned AGA has further submitted that from the allegations made in the first information report, prima facie offence is clearly disclosed against the petitioner, therefore, the impugned first information report can not be quashed in view of law laid down by

the Hon'ble Apex Court in the cases of ***State of Telangana Vs. Habib Abdullah Jellani reported in (2017) 2 SCC 779, Neeharika Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. vs. State of Maharashtra and Others reported in (2021) SCC Online SC 315*** and in a recent decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Criminal Appeal No. 843 of 2024, Directorate Enforcement Vs. Niraj Tyagi and others.***

6. Having considered the rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner alongwith three others has assaulted the victim by iron bucket and has also thrown him down from the second floor, consequent to which, victim Ayush has received fracture injuries and has been medically examined and keeping in view the allegations made in the first information report as well as noting the fracture injuries of the victim Ayush and the fact that impugned first information report discloses cognizable offence against the petitioner, we are of the opinion that the impugned first information report can not be quashed, therefore, the prayer for quashing the impugned first information report is refused.

7. However in case, the petitioner files an application for anticipatory bail/ regular bail before the appropriate court, his bail application shall be considered and disposed of as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. With the aforesaid observations, the instant writ petition is finally **disposed of.**

February 10, 2026

Nadim

(Achal Sachdev,J.) (Rajiv Gupta,J.)