



2026:AHC:65062

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 7320 of 2026

Shareef

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Avinash Pandey
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.
2. Heard Mr, Avinash Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, Mr. Uma Shankar Mishra, learned A.G.A. for the State-respondents and perused the record.
3. The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of applicant Shareef, with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. _678 of 2025, under Sections 3/5A/8 of U.P. Prevention of Cow Slaughter Act, registered at Police Station Shamli, District Shamli, during pendency of the trial.
4. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that co-accused Sahil has already been granted bail by co-ordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 16.3.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 6552 of 2026. It is further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant and the name of the applicant surfaced in the confessional statement of arrested co-accused Rajendra and from whose possession 30 Kg. cow meat is said to have been recovered. It is further submitted that the applicant has a criminal history of five cases which has been explained in the memo of the application as well as in the supplementary affidavit. The

applicant, who is languishing in jail since 21.12.2025, undertakes that he will not misuse the liberty, if granted. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

5. Per contra learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant and submitted that the applicant has a criminal history of five cases.

6. Co-accused Sahil has already been granted bail by co-ordinate bench of this Court vide order dated 16.3.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 6552 of 2026. It is further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the applicant and the name of the applicant surfaced in the confessional statement of arrested co-accused Rajendra and from whose possession 30 Kg. cow meat is said to have been recovered. It is further submitted that the applicant has a criminal history of five cases which has been explained in the memo of the application as well as in the supplementary affidavit. The applicant is languishing in jail since 21.12.2025.

7. Upon considering the totality of facts, nature and the evidence reflected from record and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, I find it to be a fit case for bail. Hence, the present bail application is allowed.

8. Let the applicant-**Shareef** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned :-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

(iii) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on

the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of B.N.S.S.

(iv) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in the trial court.

(v) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel.

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

10. It is clarified that anything said in this order is limited to the purpose of determination of this bail application and will in no way be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. The trial court shall be absolutely free to arrive at its independent conclusions on the basis of evidence led unaffected by anything said in this order.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

March 26, 2026

Kumar Manish