



2026:AHC:17794

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 1128 of 2026

Ravendra

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sanjive Kumar Gupta
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 68

HON'BLE ASHUTOSH SRIVASTAVA, J.

Heard Sri Sanjive Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Yagyavalka Pandey, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record of the case.

The present bail application under Section 483 BNSS has been filed on behalf of applicant, Ravendra with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. 352 of 2025, under Sections-8/20, 29/60 of NDPS Act, Police station-Kadar Chowk, District-Budaun, during pendency of trial.

Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that 16.500 Kilogram of Ganja is alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the applicant. The recovered contraband is below commercial quantity. In fact no such recovery was effected from the applicant. It is further submitted that since such recovery is not supported by independent witness, possibility of his false implication in the crime cannot be ruled out. There is no report of chemical analyst. It is also argued that the applicant is absolutely innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present crime with a view to cause unnecessary harassment and victimize him. The applicant, who is in jail since 12.12.2025 having criminal history of four cases to his credit which has been properly explained, deserves to be released on bail. In case the applicant is released on bail he will not misuse the liberty of bail.

Per contra learned A.G.A. has opposed the bail prayer of the applicant by contending that the innocence of the applicant cannot be adjudged at pre trial stage who is involved in supplying contraband, therefore, the applicant does not deserve any indulgence. In case the applicant is released on bail he will again indulge in similar activity.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in mind larger mandate of Article 21 of the constitution of India, the nature of accusations, the nature of evidence in support thereof, the severity of punishment which conviction

will entail, the character of the accused-applicant, circumstances which are peculiar to the accused, reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the trial, reasonable apprehension of the witnesses being tampered with, the larger interest of the public/ State and other circumstances, but without commenting on merit of case, a case for bail is made out.

Accordingly, the bail application is ***allowed***.

Let the applicant-***Ravendra***, involved in abovesaid case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions that :-

1. The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence by intimidating/ pressurizing the witnesses, during the investigation or trial.
2. The applicant shall cooperate in the trial sincerely without seeking any adjournment.
3. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

(Ashutosh Srivastava,J.)

January 27, 2026

Deepak/