



2026:AHC:27272

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 312 of 2026

Vishal Yadav @ Pachhatar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Pramod Kumar Vishwakarma
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	Binod Kumar Yadav, G.A.

Court No. - 3

HON'BLE DR. GAUTAM CHOWDHARY, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant and perused the record.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per the statements of the victim recorded under Sections 180 & 183 BNSS she was consenting party. He further submits that at the trial the victim (P.W.2) in her testimony has not made any allegation of rape against the applicant and she has not supported the prosecution case, which statement has been filed as Annexure-6 of the affidavit filed in support of the bail application. Thus, it is argued that there is bleak chance that prosecution may prove its case beyond reasonable doubt and the applicant may be convicted. Several other submissions in order to demonstrate the falsity of the allegations made against the applicant have also been placed before the Court. The circumstances which, according to the counsel, led to the false implication of the accused have also been mentioned. It has also been assured on behalf of the applicant that he is ready to cooperate with the process of law and shall faithfully make himself available before the court whenever required and is also ready to accept all the conditions which the Court may deem fit to impose upon him. He next submits that applicant is languishing in jail since 21.02.2025, having no criminal history.

3. Per contra, learned A.G.A. as well as learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant by contending that innocence of the applicant cannot be adjudged before conclusion of trial, therefore, he does not deserve any indulgence, but he could not dispute that the victim in her statement has not supported the prosecution case during the course of trial and she has been declared hostile.

4. Considering the overall facts and circumstances, the nature of allegations, the gravity of offence and without expressing any opinion on merits, this Court finds it to be a fit case for bail. Accordingly, the bail application stands allowed.

5. Let the applicant-Vishal Yadav @ Pachhatar, be enlarged on bail in Case Crime No. 567 of 2024, under Sections 137(2), 87, 65(1) of B.N.S. and Section 5(J)(ii)/6, 16/17 of Protection of Children From Sexual Offences Act, Police Station- Line Bazar, District- Jaunpur, on executing a personal bond and furnishing two local sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:

- i) The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence.
- ii) The applicant shall not threaten or harass the prosecution witnesses.
- iii) The applicant shall appear on the date fixed by the trial court.
- iv) The applicant shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which the applicant is accused, or suspected of the commission.
- v) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

6. In case of default of any of the conditions enumerated above, the court concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail of applicant in accordance with law.

(Dr. Gautam Chowdhary,J.)

February 6, 2026

Mustaqeem.