



2026:AHC:58597

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 1842 of 2026

Ittefat Alam @ Iltefat Alam @ Danish

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Ravi Shanker Tiwari, Sunil Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. Heard Sri Sunil Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Ram Kumar Verma, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The instant bail application has been filed with a prayer to release the applicant on bail in Case Crime No.838 of 2025, under Sections-318(4), 338, 336(2), 340(2) BNS, Police Station-Civil Lines, District-Moradabad, during the pendency of the trial.
3. Contention of learned counsel for the applicant is that applicant was not named in the FIR wherein the allegation has been made that one A.K. Enterprises through its proprietor, Ankit Kumar, transported iron scrap to one Saurabh Enterprises through its proprietor, Praveen Kumar. During checking, it was found that Ankit Kumar, on the basis of forged document obtained GST registration regarding A.K. Enterprises and on that basis, he was transporting the iron scrap to Saurabh Enterprises which was itself registered on the basis of forged document. During investigation, the name of the applicant has also surfaced in the statement of truck driver, Suhail, who has stated that he after loading the iron scrap from the shop of the applicant went to transport the same to A.K. Enterprises. It is further submitted that applicant is partner of registered firm which is having valid GST registration and during course of business, he has sold the iron scrap to A.K. Enterprises. Subsequently, registration of A.K. Enterprises was found to be registered on the basis of forged document which

cannot be a ground to implicate the applicant and the applicant has not committed any offence by evasion of GST. It is further submitted that if any offence has been committed that has been committed by the proprietor of A.K. Enterprises or Saurabh Enterprises to obtain the registration on the basis of forged document. It is further submitted that the applicant has no criminal history. Another criminal history i.e. case crime no.839 of 2025, police station-Civil Lines, district-Moradabad is not registered against the applicant and this fact was stated by the applicant in paragraph no.13 of the affidavit. It is further submitted that charge sheet has been filed in the present case, therefore, there is no requirement of custodial interrogation. The applicant is a law abiding citizen and he is languishing in jail since 23.11.2025. In case, he is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

4. Per contra, learned A.G.A. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the nature of offence, evidence, complicity of the accused and taking into account overcrowded jails and heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts as well as considering the mandate of the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of **Kapil Wadhawan vs Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in **2025 SCC OnLine SC 3038** and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

6. Let the applicant-**Ittefat Alam @ Ittefat Alam @ Danish**, involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

i. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

ii. The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

iii. The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

iv. The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

8. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

9. It is made clear that the applicant shall be released on the basis of computer generated copy of this order, downloaded from the official website of High Court Allahabad and verified by the concerned counsel with the undertaking that the certified copy will be filed within 15 days.

10. It is further directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

11. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through concerned Jail Superintendent via e-mail or e-prison portal in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of **Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021 decided on 31.01.2023** reported in **(2024) 10 SCC 685**.

(Arun Kumar Singh Deshwal,J.)

March 20, 2026
S.C.