



2026:AHC:60875

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 2396 of 2026

Manoj Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Anand Kumar Chaudhary, Manish
Dubey, Saumitra Dwivedi, Vineet
Kumar Singh

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Vineet Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Manoj Gautam, learned A.G.A. for the State-respondents and perused the record.

2. The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of applicant Manoj Kumar, with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. 529 of 2025, under Sections 7/8/11/13 of U.P. Public Examination Adhiniyam, registered at Police Station Kotwali Orai, District Jalaun, during pendency of the trial.

3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the as per the allegations in the first information report the applicant was arrested while taking examination in place of co-accused Dharmendra Kumar. It is further submitted that the charge sheet has been submitted against him. It is further submitted that the applicant has no criminal history. The applicant, who is languishing in jail since 8.9.2025, undertakes that he will not misuse the liberty, if granted. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

4. Per contra learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant.

5. The allegations in the first information report is that the applicant was arrested while taking examination in place of co-accused Dharmendra Kumar and charge sheet has been submitted against him. The applicant has no criminal history and the applicant is languishing in jail since 8.9.2025.

6. Upon considering the totality of facts, nature and the evidence reflected from record and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, I find it to be a fit case for bail. Hence, the present bail application is allowed.

7. In the event of arrest of the applicant-Manoj Kumar involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned :-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

(iii) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of B.N.S.S.

(iv) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in the trial court.

(v) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

9. It is clarified that anything said in this order is limited to the purpose of

determination of this bail application and will in no way be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. The trial court shall be absolutely free to arrive at its independent conclusions on the basis of evidence led unaffected by anything said in this order.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

March 23, 2026

Kumar Manish