



2026:AHC:65756

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 5504 of 2026

Mukesh Yadav And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Harish Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Harish Yadav, learned counsel for the applicants and Sri B.P. Maurya, learned AGA for the State-respondents and perused the record.

2. The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of applicants with a prayer to release them on bail in Case Crime No. 689 of 2025, under Section 2/3 (1) of U.P. Gangsters and Antisocial Activities (Prevention) Act, registered at P.S. Dadri, District Gautam Buddh Nagar, during pendency of the trial.

3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicants that applicants are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the applicants are on bail in two cases each shown against them in the gang chart and apart from above the applicant no. 1 is having four other cases and he is on bail in all the four cases. It is further submitted that the applicants, who are languishing in jail since 9.1.2026 undertake that they will not misuse the liberty, if granted. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

4. Per contra learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the applicants.

5. The applicants are on bail in two cases each shown against them in the gang chart and apart from above the applicant no. 1 is having four other

cases and he is on bail in all the four cases. The applicants has been languishing in jail since 9.1.2026.

6. Upon considering the totality of facts, nature and the evidence reflected from record and also taking into consideration the prsovision of Section 19(4) of the Act and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, I find it to be a fit case for bail. Hence, the present bail application is **ALLOWED**.

7. Let the applicants- **Mukesh Yadav** and **Gaurav** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on bail on their furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicants shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

(iii) The applicants shall remain present in person before the trial court on the dates fixed for: (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of B.N.S.S.

(iv) The applicants shall file undertaking to the effect that they shall not seek any unnecessary adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in the trial court.

(v) The applicants shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through their counsel.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

9. It is clarified that anything said in this order is limited to the purpose of determination of this bail application and will in no way be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. The trial court shall be absolutely free to arrive at its independent conclusions on the basis of evidence led

unaffected by anything said in this order.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

March 30, 2026
Abhishek