



2026:AHC:64183

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 9368 of 2026

Vivek

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Asheesh Kumar Yadav, Mohd. Afzal
Ansari
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 69

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Mohd. Afzal Ansari, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State-respondents and perused the record.

2. The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of applicant Vivek, with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No.564 of 2025, under Sections 310(2), 317(3) BNS and 3/25 of Arms Act, Police Station Jalesar, District Etah, during pendency of the trial.

3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that FIR has been lodged against unknown persons and during investigation applicant is said to have been arrested with stolen Buffalow and some country made pistols are also said to have been recovered from his possession. On the other hand it is also contended that applicant is languishing in jail since 11.01.1026. He further submits that applicant has been implicated on the same day in four other cases. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

4. Per contra learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant.

5. The FIR has been lodged against unknown persons and during investigation the applicant is said to have been arrested with stolen

Buffalow and some country made pistols are also said to have been recovered from his possession. The applicant is languishing in jail since 11.01.1026. He further submits that applicant has been implicated on the same day in four other cases.

6. Upon considering the totality of facts, nature and the evidence reflected from record and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, I find it to be a fit case for bail. Hence, the present bail application is allowed.

7. Let the applicant- **Vivek** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned :-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

(iii) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of B.N.S.S.

(iv) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in the trial court.

(v) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

9. It is clarified that anything said in this order is limited to the purpose of determination of this bail application and will in no way be construed as

an expression on the merits of the case. The trial court shall be absolutely free to arrive at its independent conclusions on the basis of evidence led unaffected by anything said in this order.

March 26, 2026

Ashish/-

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)