



2026:AHC:5735

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 45126 of 2025

Raja

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Santosh Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Rama Pati Tripathi

Court No. - 66

HON'BLE KRISHAN PAHAL, J.

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Santosh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Rama Pati Tripathi, learned counsel for the informant as well as Ms. Ifrah Islam, learned State Law Officer for the State and perused the record.
3. Applicant seeks bail in Case Crime No.163 of 2025, under Sections 140(1), 103(1), 238, 191(2), 191(3), 3(5) BNS, Police Station Sen Paschimpara, District Kanpur Nagar, during the pendency of trial.
4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that similarly placed co-accused person, Karan Varma has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 28.10.2025 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.35200 of 2025. The applicant is languishing in jail since 8.7.2025, having no criminal history. He further submitted that since the role of the applicant is identical to that of co-accused, who has already been enlarged on bail, he is also entitled to be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity.
5. The prayer for bail has been vehemently opposed by learned counsel for the informant and learned State Law Officer. However, the aforesaid factual aspects of parity to the co-accused and of no criminal history of the applicant, have not been disputed by them.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by

learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, and also taking into consideration the judgment of the Supreme Court passed in the case of ***Paras Ram Vishnoi vs. The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, MANU/SCOR/22410/2021*** and the judgment of this Court passed in the case of ***Nanha S/o Nabhan Kha vs. State of U.P., 1993 Cri.L.J. 938*** coupled with the judgment of Supreme Court passed in ***Satender Kumar Antil vs. Central Bureau of Investigation and another reported in 2022 SCC OnLine SC 825***, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the Court is of the view that the applicant has made out a case for bail on the ground of parity. The bail application is ***allowed*** on the ground of parity.

7. Let the applicant- **Raja** involved in aforementioned case crime number be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions.

- (i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence during trial.
- (ii) The applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The applicant shall appear before the trial court on the date fixed.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

9. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted.

10. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion on the testimony of the witnesses.

(Krishan Pahal,J.)

January 9, 2026
Vikas Verma