



2026:AHC:57663

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S
482 BNSS No. - 2535 of 2026**

Manish And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Aditya Gupta, Harsh Vardhan Gupta
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Shri Aditya Gupta, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri B.P. Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no. 174 of 2025, under Section 191(2), 191(3), 115(2) & 140(3) of BNS, 2023 Police Station Baraut, District Baghpat, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.
3. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicants that no specific role has been assigned to any of the applicants, and the injuries sustained by the injured, Jitendra @ Jita, have been found to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that the applicants are having no criminal history. It is further submitted that general role has been given to the applicants, with ulterior motive. It is next submitted that no incriminating material has been recovered from any of the accused persons. It is submitted that there is no criminal history of the applicants. It was also submitted that applicants undertake to co-operate during trial and they would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions

of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. has opposed the application for anticipatory bail.

5. No specific role has been assigned to any of the applicants and the injuries suffered by the injured Jitendra @ Jita have been found to be simple in nature.

6. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

7. In the instant case, considering the settled principle of law regarding anticipatory bail, submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, role of applicants and all attending facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on merits, a case for anticipatory bail is made out.

8. The anticipatory bail application is **allowed**.

9. In the event of arrest of the applicants- **Manish And Sekhar @ Shivank** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on anticipatory bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence and that they would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicants would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicants shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

10. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicants.

March 17, 2026
Neetu

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)