



2026:AHC:59112

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 2332 of 2026**

Smt Alisha And 4 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Amar Jeet Singh, Bhuvnesh Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Mr. Bhuvnesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicants, Mr. Radhey Shyam, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Complaint Case No. 35152 of 2024, under Sections 323, 392, 506 of IPC, Police Station Dhampur, District Bijnor, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.

3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicants that applicants are innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that a day prior to the date of incident in the present case a case for matrimonial offences was registered by the first informant against applicant no.4 i.e. on 16.03.2024 bearing Case Crime No.-112 of 2024 under Sections 498-A, 323, 506 IPC, Section 3/4 of D.P. Act and Section 3/4 of Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act. The complaint has been lodged after delay of three months showing the date of commission of offence as 17.03.2024. It is further submitted that the applicants are said to have assaulted the first informant and they have also looted a bag containing cash of Rs.40,000/-. It was also submitted that applicants undertake to co-operate during trial and they would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and

would obey all conditions of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. has opposed the application for anticipatory bail.

5. As per the allegation in the complaint, the applicants are said to have assaulted and looted a bag containing cash of Rs.40,000/-. The applicants are ready to co-operate in the trial. The complaint has been lodged after the delay of three months. It is also submitted that the applicant is having no criminal history.

6. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

7. In the instant case, considering the settled principle of law regarding anticipatory bail, submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, role of applicants and all attending facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on merits, a case for anticipatory bail is made out.

8. The anticipatory bail application is **allowed**.

9. In the event of arrest of the applicants- **Smt. Alisha, Smt. Rashida, Rustam, Smt. Shahnaz** and **Sharik** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence and that they would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as

to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicants would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicants shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

10. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicants.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

March 19, 2026

Virendra