



2026:AHC-LKO:21229

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD  
LUCKNOW**

**APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 1037 of 2026**

Mohammad Arif And 2 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Deptt. Lko.  
And Another

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

|                               |   |                           |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| Counsel for Applicant(s)      | : | Mukhtar Ahamad, Ana Fatma |
| Counsel for Opposite Party(s) | : | G.A.                      |

---

**Court No. - 16**

**HON'BLE SHREE PRAKASH SINGH, J.**

1. Mohd. Salman, Advocate, has put in appearance by way of filing vakalatnama on behalf of opposite party no. 2 and the same is taken on record.

2. Heard learned counsel for the applicants, Mohd. Salman, learned counsel for opposite party no.2 , learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

3. The instant application has been filed for quashing the entire proceeding of Charge sheet No. 01/2024, dated 11.02.202 in Criminal Case no. 0451/2025, F.I.R/ Case Crime no. no. 0730/2024, U/s 85/115 (2)/ 352/351 (3) Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and  $\frac{3}{4}$  Dowry Prohibition Act and  $\frac{3}{4}$  The Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, Police Station Kotwali, District Unnao styled as State.

Vs Mond Arif and others, pending Before Learned Civil Judge (J/D)/ F.T.C Crime Against Women, Unnao.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants submits that the applicants are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the instant case. He next added that under some misunderstanding, the instant F.I.R. has been lodged against the applicants and thereafter, the parties have amicably compromised their dispute. The compromise deed has been reduced in writing on 10.03.2026, which has been annexed as annexure no.3 to the instant application. He next added that now there is no grievance in between the

parties with each other and the criminal proceedings against the applicants are the futile exercise as there is no fate of trial and that is amount to harassment of the applicants and thus, the criminal proceedings against the applicants may be quashed.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the opposite parties has supported the version of the learned counsel for the applicants and submits that the parties have settled their dispute amicably through a compromise deed and there is no further grievance of the opposite parties against the present applicants and the criminal proceedings against the applicants may be dropped.

6. Learned A.G.A. appearing for the State has no objection to the contentions aforesaid.

7. Now whether the parties have, in fact, compromised the matter or not, can best be ascertained by the court below as such compromise has to be duly verified in presence of the parties concerned before the Court.

8. Accordingly, this application is **disposed of** with a direction to the court concerned that if any such compromise is filed before it, it shall issue notices to all the signatories to the compromise requiring their personal presence and, thereafter, proceed to verify the compromise. If the aforesaid compromise is verified, a report to that effect shall be prepared by the court and the compromise will be made part of the record.

9. The court in that scenario will allow the parties to obtain certified copy of the report as well as compromise and it will be open to the applicants to approach this Court again for quashing of the proceedings.

10. For a period of three months, the proceedings initiated in pursuance of the Charge sheet No. 01/2024, dated 11.02.202 in Criminal Case no. 0451/2025, F.I.R/ Case Crime no. no. 0730/2024, U/s 85/115 (2)/ 352/351 (3) Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and  $\frac{3}{4}$  Dowry Prohibition Act and  $\frac{3}{4}$  The Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Marriage) Act, Police Station Kotwali, District Unnao styled as State.

Vs Mond Arif and others, pending Before Learned Civil Judge (J/D)/ F.T.C Crime Against Women, Unnao, shall remain stayed so far as applicants are

concerned.

11. The trial Court is directed to examine the fact that whether all the parties against whom the chargesheet was filed, the party in the compromise and in this respect, it shall also sent a report along with the verification order.

12. Office is directed to return the original compromise deed to the learned counsel for the applicants, if any, after taking the photocopy of the same.

**(Shree Prakash Singh,J.)**

**March 24, 2026**

V. Sinha