



2026:AHC:124290

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 18895 of 2026

Nadeem

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Saif Ullah, Sudhakar Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 5

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Sudhakar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Amit Kumar Dubey, learned A.G.A. for the State and Miss Gita Parihar, learned counsel for the High Court Legal Services Committee and perused the record.
2. The instant bail application has been filed with a prayer to release the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 63 of 2026, under Sections 142, 65(1) BNS and Section 3/4(2) of POCSO Act, Police Station Chandausi, District Sambhal, during the pendency of the trial.
3. At the very outset, learned AGA submits that notice has been served on the first informant.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case though there is no incriminating material against him. It is further submitted that FIR has been lodged against unknown and the applicant and the victim were arrested by the police after 12 days of the lodging of the FIR and the victim has not stated anything against the applicant in her statement recorded under Section 180 BNSS and there are material contradictions in her statement recorded under Sections 180 and 183 BNSS. The applicant has been languishing in jail since 20.3.2026. In case, he is granted bail, he will not misuse the liberty of bail and would cooperate in the trial proceedings.

5. Per contra, learned A.G.A. and the learned counsel for the High Court Legal Services Committee have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail but could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

6. FIR has been lodged against unknown and as per FIR some unknown person is said to have enticed away the daughter of the first informant aged about 16 years, however, the victim was recovered from the possession of the co-accused Bittu, however, there appears to be some material contradictions in the statement of the victim recorded under Sections 180 and 183 BNSS and the age determination of the victim is based on Class 8 certificate and there is no High School certificate or the school certificate of first attended and no radio-logical examination has been conducted.

7. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, submissions of learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the nature of offence, evidence, complicity of the accused and taking into account overcrowded jails and heavy pendency of criminal cases before the trial courts as well as considering the mandate of the judgement of the Apex Court in the case of ***Kapil Wadhawan vs Central Bureau of Investigation*** reported in 2025 SCC OnLine SC 3038 as well as guideline of this Court in the case of ***Maya Tiwari Vs. State of U.P.*** reported in 2024 SCC Online All 6765 regarding grant of bail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

8. Accordingly, the present application is **ALLOWED**.

9. Let the applicant- **Nadeem** involved in the aforementioned crime be released on bail, on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall cooperate in the trial/investigation sincerely without seeking any adjournment.

(iii) The applicant shall not indulge in any criminal activity or commission of any crime after being released on bail.

(iv) The applicant shall attend in accordance with the conditions of the bond executed by him.

10. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

11. Identity, status and residence proof of the applicant and sureties be verified by the court concerned before the bonds are accepted. Verification of mobile number and Aadhaar card of the applicant as well as sureties should be verified by the court concerned.

12. It is further directed that the trial court shall send the release order to the concerned jail through Bail Order Management System (BOMS) to ensure early release of the applicant.

13. Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the applicant through **concerned Jail Superintendent** via e-mail or e-prison portal within 24 hours in compliance of the order of the Apex Court in the case of **Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail, In Re: Suo Motu Writ Petition (Crl.) No.4 of 2021 decided on 31.01.2023** reported in (2024) 10 SCC 685.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

June 1, 2026

Abhishek