



2026:AHC:124754

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 19153 of 2026

Nitin

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Mrityunjay Singh, Rajiv Ratan
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 5

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Rajiv Ratan, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri B.P. Singh, learned AGA for the State-respondents and perused the record.

2. The present bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of applicant with a prayer to release him on bail in Case Crime No. 0016 of 2026, under Sections 108 BNS and Section 67 of IT Act, registered at Police Station Sikandarpur Vaish, District Kasganj, during pendency of the trial.

3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has been languishing in jail since 3.2.2026. It is further submitted that no case under Section 108 BNS is made out against the applicant as the alleged video which has been made viral is not a sexually explicit video. It is further submitted that the applicant is having criminal history of one case in which final report has been submitted. It is also submitted that the applicant undertakes that he will not misuse the liberty, if granted. It has also been pointed out that in the wake of heavy pendency of cases in the Court, there is no likelihood of any early conclusion of trial.

4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for

grant of bail to the applicant and it is submitted that the applicant made video of the daughter of the first informant and himself viral as a result of which the daughter of the first informant committed suicide out of shock.

5. As per allegations in the FIR, the applicant is said to have made viral a video of himself and daughter of the first informant aged about 18 years as a result of which the daughter of the first informant committed suicide, the alleged video has not been found to be sexually explicit. The applicant is having criminal history of one case in which final report has been submitted.

6. Upon considering the totality of facts, nature and the evidence reflected from record and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, I find it to be a fit case for bail. Hence, the present bail application is **ALLOWED**.

7. Let the applicant- **Nitin** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(ii) The applicant shall not pressurize/intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

(iii) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of B.N.S.S.

(iv) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in the trial court.

(v) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

9. It is clarified that anything said in this order is limited to the purpose of determination of this bail application and will in no way be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. The trial court shall be absolutely free to arrive at its independent conclusions on the basis of evidence led unaffected by anything said in this order.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

June 2, 2026
Abhishek