



2026:AHC:127397

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 19886 of 2026

Amar Singh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Though It Principal Secretary
(Home)

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Atul Kumar
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Sushil Kumar Pandey

Court No. - 45

HON'BLE VIVEK KUMAR SINGH, J.

1. Heard Sri Atul Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Sushil Kumar Pandey, learned counsel appearing for the informant and the learned A.G.A. for the State of U.P.

2. The instant bail application has been filed seeking release of the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 186 of 2026, under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 333, 115(2), 109(1), 351(2), 351(3) BNS, Police Station Budhana, District Muzaffar Nagar.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The alleged incident had taken place on 27.4.2026, whereas the first information report was lodged after two days on 29.4.2026 at 16:11 hours. There is no explanation of inordinate delay in lodging the first information report, which was lodged against 11 persons including the applicant. The injury sustained by the injured persons from the side of the informant are simple in nature. There is a cross version of the alleged incident dated 27.4.2026. From the side of the applicant, two persons allegedly sustained injuries and a cross first information report from the side of the applicant was lodged on 29.4.2026, which was registered as Case Crime No. 187 of 2026, under Sections 131, 115(2), 110, 352, 351(3) BNS, Police Station Budhana, District Muzaffar Nagar. There is no criminal history of the applicant. Learned counsel for applicant further submits that applicant has been languishing in jail since 5.5.2026 and in case, he is released on bail,

he will not misuse the liberty of bail and will cooperate in trial.

4. Per contra, learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the applicant and submitted that there is ample evidence against the applicant regarding his involvement in the offence, therefore, he is not entitled to be released on bail. It is further submitted that in the first information report registered as Case Crime No. 187 of 2026, lodged from the side of the applicant's, final report has been submitted and the injuries from the side of the applicant are simple in nature.

5. I have considered the rival submissions of the parties and perused the record.

6. Having considered the rival submissions advanced by learned counsel for the parties, the nature of accusations, the evidence brought on record, the period of incarceration undergone by the applicant, the absence of any criminal antecedents, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court finds that the applicant has made out a case for grant of bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. Let the applicant-**Amar Singh** who is involved in the aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions. Further, before issuing the release order, the sureties be verified.

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the date fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in Court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the Trial Court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the Trial Court may proceed against him under Section 229-A IPC/ 269 B.N.S.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C./ 84 B.N.S.S., may be issued and if applicant fails to appear before the Court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the Trial Court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A IPC/ 209 B.N.S.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the Trial Court on dates fixed for (1) opening of the case, (2) framing of charge and (3) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C./ 351 of B.N.S.S. If in the opinion of the Trial Court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

9. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

10. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicant shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming his independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

(Vivek Kumar Singh,J.)

June 16, 2026

Lalit Shukla