



2026:AHC:126911

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 19539 of 2026

Shoaib @ Shuaib Raja

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Brijesh Kumar Pandey
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 5

HON'BLE SANJIV KUMAR, J.

1. Heard Mr. Vachaspati Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Mr. Brijesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and also perused the material placed on record.

2. The present bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.158 of 2026, under Sections 191 (2), 190, 125, 326 (G), 333, 324(4), 115 (2), 351(2), 3(5) of BNS and Section 7 of the Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1932, Police Station-Sector-63, District-Gautam Buddh Nagar, with the prayer to enlarge him on bail.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the applicant is not named in the FIR and no recovery of any kind has been effected from his possession. He further submitted that similarly situated co-accused persons, namely, Anmol, Vivek Pandey and Brajmohan have already been granted bail by Co-ordinate Benches as well as this Court vide orders dated 03.06.2026, 05.06.2026 and 10.06.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application Nos.19238 of 2026 and 19707 of 2026 and 19373 of 2026, respectively. It is also submitted that there is no criminal history of the applicant. In these circumstances, the applicant is also entitled to be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity. In case he is enlarged on bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and shall cooperate with the trial proceedings.

4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the applicant

but has fairly conceded that similarly situated co-accused, namely, Anmol, Vivek Pandey and Brajmohan have already been granted bail.

5. Considering the rival submissions of learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. and going through the contents of FIR, other relevant documents and keeping in view the nature of the offence, evidence, complicity of the accused, severity of punishment and without expressing any opinion on the merits and demerits of the case, I am of the considered view that applicant has made a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed** on the ground of parity.

6. Let the applicant- **Shoaib @ Shuaib Raja**, who is involved in aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions.

(i) The applicant shall not commit or participate in any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the date fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in Court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present before the Trial Court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the Trial Court may proceed against him under Section 229-A IPC (corresponding Section 269 BNS).

(v) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 84 of BNSS), may be issued and if applicant fails to appear before

the Court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the Trial Court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A IPC (corresponding Section 209 BNS).

(vi) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the Trial Court on dates fixed for (1) opening of the case, (2) framing of charge and (3) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 351 BNSS). If in the opinion of the Trial Court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail by the Trial Court.

(Sanjiv Kumar,J.)

June 11, 2026

Subham