



2026:AHC:129548

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 18568 of 2026

Satyam Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Anurag Yadav, Ray Sahab Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE DEVENDRA SINGH-I, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A for the State of U.P. and perused the record.
2. The present bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant in Case Crime No.129 of 2025, under Section 109(1) of BNS, 2023, Police Station-Daraganj, District-Prayagraj, with the prayer to enlarge him on bail.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Applicant was not named in the FIR. No specific allegation has been assigned to the present accused-applicant. Apart from this case, applicant has criminal history of two cases, in which he has already been granted bail by the court concerned. Applicant is languishing in jail since 17.04.2026. In these circumstances, it was submitted that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail. In the event of being enlarged on bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and shall cooperate with the trial proceedings.
4. Learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the applicants.
5. Keeping in view the nature of the offence, evidence, complicity of the accused, severity of punishment, submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and without expressing any opinion on the merits, I am of the considered view that applicant has made out a case for bail. The bail application is **allowed**.

6. Let the applicant-**Satyam Yadav**, who is involved in aforementioned case crime be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions.

(i) The applicant shall not commit or participate in any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected.

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the date fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in Court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present before the Trial Court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of their absence, without sufficient cause, the Trial Court may proceed against him under Section 229-A IPC (corresponding Section 269 BNS).

(v) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 84 BNSS) may be issued and if applicant fails to appear before the Court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the Trial Court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A IPC (corresponding Section 209 BNS).

(vi) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the Trial Court on dates fixed for (1) opening of the case, (2) framing of charge and (3) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C. (corresponding Section 351 BNSS). If in the opinion of the Trial Court absence of the applicants are deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed

against them in accordance with law.

7. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail by the trial court.

(Devendra Singh-I,J.)

June 25, 2026

Ashutosh